

ERRATA TO ORDER NO. 88514

IN THE MATTER OF POTOMAC EDISON
COMPANY D/B/A ALLEGHENY POWER'S
ENERGY EFFICIENCY, CONSERVATION AND
DEMAND RESPONSE PROGRAMS PURSUANT TO
THE EMPOWER MARYLAND ENERGY
EFFICIENCY ACT OF 2008

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

BEFORE THE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF MARYLAND

CASE NO. 9153

IN THE MATTER OF BALTIMORE GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY,
CONSERVATION AND DEMAND RESPONSE
PROGRAMS PURSUANT TO THE EMPOWER
MARYLAND ENERGY EFFICIENCY ACT OF 2008

*
*
*
*
*
*
*

CASE NO. 9154

IN THE MATTER OF POTOMAC ELECTRIC
POWER COMPANY'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY,
CONSERVATION AND DEMAND RESPONSE
PROGRAMS PURSUANT TO THE EMPOWER
MARYLAND ENERGY EFFICIENCY ACT OF 2008

*
*
*
*
*
*
*

CASE NO. 9155

IN THE MATTER OF DELMARVA POWER &
LIGHT COMPANY'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY,
CONSERVATION AND DEMAND RESPONSE
PROGRAMS PURSUANT TO THE EMPOWER
MARYLAND ENERGY EFFICIENCY ACT OF 2008

*
*
*
*
*
*
*

CASE NO. 9156

IN THE MATTER OF SOUTHERN MARYLAND
ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.'S ENERGY
EFFICIENCY, CONSERVATION AND DEMAND
RESPONSE PROGRAMS PURSUANT TO THE
EMPOWER MARYLAND ENERGY EFFICIENCY
ACT OF 2008

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

CASE NO. 9157

IN THE MATTER OF WASHINGTON GAS LIGHT
COMPANY'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY,
CONSERVATION AND DEMAND RESPONSE
PROGRAMS PURSUANT TO THE EMPOWER
MARYLAND ENERGY EFFICIENCY ACT OF 2008

*
*
*
*
*

CASE NO. 9362

Issue Date: January 5, 2018

TO: PARTIES OF RECORD AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

On December 22, 2017, the Public Service Commission of Maryland (“Commission”) issued Order No. 88514 in the above-captioned proceedings. Since that date, the Commission has discovered the following three typographical errors, which it corrects now through the issuance of this Errata Order.

First, on page 11, footnote 53, the sentence reads in relevant part: “Thus, the Utilities may pay ... production incentives through December 31, 2024 for CHP projects that are preapproved by December 31, 2020.” Instead, the sentence should read, “Thus, the Utilities may pay ... production incentives through **June 30**, 2024 for CHP projects that are preapproved by December 31, 2020.” Similarly, Ordering Paragraph 8 should be revised to state, “That the Utilities are authorized to pay construction incentives through December 31, 2022 and production incentives through **June 30**, 2024 for CHP projects that are pre-approved by December 31, 2020...” As noted in the Order, the directive was intended to mirror the guidance provided in Order No. 87285, which authorized the Utilities to pay production incentives through a date 18 months after the close of the program cycle.¹

Second, on page 24, the sentence reads in relevant part: “...and for any capacity in excess of 1 MW, the project will receive \$900 / kWh.” Instead, the sentence should read, “...and for any capacity in excess of 1 MW, the project will receive \$900 / **kW**.”

Lastly, Ordering Paragraph 25 states, “That the Residential Dynamic Pricing Program as proposed by BGE, Delmarva, and Pepco is approved, subject to the metrics and budgets included in the respective Utilities’ filings.” However, the Residential

¹ Order No. 87285 (Dec. 8, 2015) at 14-15.

Dynamic Pricing Programs offered by Delmarva and Pepco are not funded through EmPOWER, and as such, the Commission does not approve the budgets; instead, the approval related to the Delmarva and Pepco Dynamic Pricing Programs is covered by Ordering Paragraph 36.² Thus, Ordering Paragraph 25 should be revised to state, “That the Residential Dynamic Pricing Program **as proposed by BGE** is approved, subject to the metrics and budgets included in **BGE’s** filing.”

By Direction of the Commission,

David J. Collins
Executive Secretary

² Ordering Paragraph 36 states, “That the proposal by BGE, Delmarva, Pepco, PE, and SMECO to report energy efficiency and demand savings attributable to certain “other programs” as described herein is approved for the 2018 – 2020 program cycle, subject to the metrics included in the respective Utilities’ filings.” Order No. 88514 (Dec. 22, 2017) at 48.